"Made available under NASA sponsorship in the interest of early and wide dissemination of Earth Resources Survey Program information and without liability for any use made thereof." E7.3 10.329 CR-130772

THE CARTOGRAPHIC EVALUATION OF ERTS ORBIT AND ATTITUDE DATA

Robert B. McEwen U.S. Geological Survey Washington, D. . 20242

1 January 1973

Type II Progress Report for Period 1 July 1972 - 31 December 1972

(E73-10329) CARTOGRAPHIC EVALUATION OF ERTS ORBIT AND ATTITUDE DATA Progress Report, 1 Jul. - 31 Dec. 1972 (Geological Survey) 9 p HC \$3.00

N73-18360

CSCL 08B G3/13 Unclas 00329

Prepared for Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Original photography may be purchased from: EROS Data Center 10th and Dakota Avenue Sioux Falls, SD 57198

1. Report No. Leave Blank 2. Gevernment Accession No. Leave Blank 1. Recipient's Colleg No. Leave Blank 2. Report No. Leave Blank 3. Recipient's Colleg No. Leave Blank 5. Report Date 1. January 1973 6. Performing Organization Code Leave Blank 1. Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 8. Performing Organization Rome and Address 1. January 1973 1. Leave Blank 1. Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 1. Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 1. Robert B. McEwen Blank 1. Robert B. McEwen Blank 1. Robert B. McEwen Blank 1. Robert B. Report No. Leave Blank 1. Robert B. Report No. Serock Blank 1. Robert B. Report No.			TECHNICAL	KEI OKI STANON	
4. Yille and Subilite Cartographic Evaluation of ERTS Orbit and Attitude Data Attitude Data Attitude Data Attitude Data 7. Author(s) Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 9. Performing Organization Report No. Leave Blank U. S. Geological Survey Washington, D. C. 20242 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementory Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (\$1 ected by Author(s)) A Leave Blank 18. Distribution Stutement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave Blank	•	1 - 1 -			
Cartographic Evaluation of ERTS Orbit and Attitude Data 7. Author(s) Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 9. Performing Organization Rome and Address U. S. Geological Survey Washington, D. C. 20242 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementary Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (\$\frac{1}{2}\$ ected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Stotement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave Blank		1 200.0		5. Report Date	
Attitude Data 7. Author(s) Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 9. Performing Organization Name and Address U. S. Geological Survey Washington, D. C. 20242 12. Spansoring Agency Name and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementory Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kry Words (\$ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{ ected by Author(s)} \) 18. Distribution Stotement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) A Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) A Leave Blank 10. Centract or Grant No. S = 70243 - AG 11. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 12. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 13. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 13. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 14. Spansoring Agency Code Leave Blank 10. Centract or Grant No. S = 70243 - AG 11. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 12. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 13. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 13. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 14. Spansoring Agency Code Leave Blank 10. Centract or Grant No. S = 70243 - AG 11. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 12. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 13. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 13. Type of Report No. S = 70243 - AG 14. Spansoring Agency Code Leave Blank 16. Abstract 17. Kry Words (\$ \frac{1}{2} \text{ ected by Author(s)} \) 18. Distribution Stotement 19. Security Classif. (of this page) 19. No. of Pages 22. Price* Q Leave	. (1112 2112 222111 1	on of FRTS Ort	oit and	1 January	1973
7. Author(s) Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 9. Performing Organization Report No. 10. Work Unit No. 10. Work Unit No. 10. Work Unit No. 11. Centract or Grant No. 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementory Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 10. Note Pages 11. No. of Pages 12. Price* 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* 22. Price* 23. Security Classif. (of this report) 24. No. of Pages 25. Price* 26. Leave 27. Price* 28. Descrity Classif. (of this report) 29. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* 25. Descrity Classif. (of this page) 22. Price* 26. Leave 27. Leave			10 4114		
Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 9. Performing Organization Name and Address U. S. Geological Survey Washington, D. C. 20242 10. Nork Unin No. S-70243-AG 11. Contract or Grant No. S-70243-AG 11. Type of Report and Period Covere Type II Progress Rp 1 Jul 72 - 31 Dec 7 14. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementery Nates Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 10. Nork Unin No. Leave Blank 11. Contract or Grant No. S-70243-AG 13. Type of Report and Period Covere Type II Progress Rp 1 Jul 72 - 31 Dec 7 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive.	Accidade Data			<u> Leave Bla</u>	ınk
Robert B. McEwen (IN043) 9. Performing Organization Name and Address U. S. Geological Survey Washington, D. C. 20242 10. Washington, D. C. 20242 11. Contract or Grant No. S-70243-AG 13. Type of Report and Period Covere Type II Progress Rp 1 Jul 72 - 31 Dec 7 14. Sponsoring Agency Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 10. Distribution Stotement Leave Blank 11. Contract or Grant No. S-70243-AG 13. Type of Report and Period Covere Type II Progress Rp 1 Jul 72 - 31 Dec 7 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive.	7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organ	nization Report No
9. Performing Organization Nome and Address U. S. Geological Survey Washington, D. C. 20242 12. Sponsaring Agency Name and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementary Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kry Words (S. lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Stotement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	Robert B. McEwen (IN	043)	• 1	Leave Bla	ink
U. S. Geological Survey Washington, D. C. 20242 12. Sponsering Agency Name and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementary Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kry Words (S. lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave			5		
Washington, D. C. 20242 2. Sponsoring Agency Nome and Address Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 3. Supplementary Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (S. Tected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Stotement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 12. Price* Leave Leave		•			
Washington, D. C. 20242 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Type II Progress Rp 1 Jul 72 - 31 Dec 7 Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementory Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (S. Tected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave			Ī	1. Contract or Gran	t No.
Washington, B. C. 20242 Composering Agency Name and Address				S-70243-A	.G
Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 Supplementary Notes Leave Blank Mithout the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Ksy Words (S. *scred by Author(s)) B. Distribution Stotement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	Washington, D. C. 20	242	1	3. Type of Report	and Period Covered
Arthur W. Fihelly Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 16. Speplementory Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (& lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Addr	ess ·	· · · · · ·	Type II I	Progress Rpt
Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 15. Supplementory Notes Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (Steeled by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave				1 Jul 72	- 31 Dec 72
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (S lected by Author(s)) Leave Blank Leave Blank 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank		Center	<u> </u>	14. Sponsoring Ager	cy Code
Leave Blank 16. Abstract Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (S. lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave					
Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. K-y Words (\$.\frac{1}{2} \text{ Ficted by Author(s)}) B. Distribution Stotement Leave Blank 18. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave		207.12			
Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (& Tected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 18. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave	13. Supplementary Notes	•		•_	
Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (& Tected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 18. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave		•	•		
Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (S. lected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave	Leave Blank	•			
Without the required RBV images; increased attention has been directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (S. lected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave	14 41-4				
directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (S. lected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave	10. Adstract	•	•	*	
directed toward evaluating the geometric quality of MSS images. A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (S. lected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave	Usehane the meanined	DDV images, is	acinoacod atto	intion has be	oon
A line scan anomaly was identified and analyzed. Successive generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave	without the required	KDV Illiayes, II	icreased acce	HICTOH HAS DE	: C 1
generations of images have been checked for variations in geometric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (& lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave 122. Price* Leave	directed toward evalu	ating the geor	netric qualit	y of Mas The	ages.
metric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (S. lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	A line scan anomaly w	as identified	and analyzed	l. Successi	⁄e
metric distortion; it has been consistent. Some recent MSS images have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (S. lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	generations of images	have been che	ecked for var	iations in g	geo-
have about 250 m rms of relative positional accuracy although earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (S lected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave	metric distortion; it	has been cons	sistent. Som	e recent MS:	Simages
earlier images were generally over 300 m. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (S. lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	have about 250 m rms	of relative r	positional ac	curacy altho	ough
to isolate systematic errors in MSS images but present results are inconclusive. 17. Key Words (& lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave	earlier images were o	enerally over	300 m Fffc	rts are con	tinuina
inconclusive. 17. Kay Words (S. lected by Author(s)) 18. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave	to icolato evetomatic	orrors in MSS	Simages but	nracant raci	ilts are
17. Key Words (S. lected by Author(s)) B. Distribution Statement Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages Leave Leave		CLIDIS III 1954	images but	present rest	1103 UIC
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	inconcrusive.	•		•	
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave		+ .1			
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	, .	:			
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	•			•	•
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	•		•	4.15	
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave					
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	! ea.			•	
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave			_		
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	·	•			
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave		•			
Leave Blank 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	17. Kay Words (S. lected by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave					
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	1				
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave			Leave Blan	nk	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price* Leave	G.				
Leave	G				
Leave	& &				·
		20. Security Classif	(of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*
					1

^{*}For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

Type II Progress Report ERTS 1

- a. Title: Cartographic Evaluation of ERTS Orbit and Attitude Data
 ERTS 1 Proposal No.: SR 150
- b. GSFC ID No. of P.I.: INO43
- c. Problems:
 - The continued lack of RBV images is causing considerable difficulty in pursuing the concepts of the investigation outlined in the proposal.

c. Accomplishments:

- Without RBV images, increased attention is being given to the geometric quality of MSS images.
- An anomaly of the line scan pattern was identified and analyzed. It is described in the appendix to this report.
- 3. One MSS scene (1080-15192, Washington, D.C.) was obtained as a first generation copy. Ground control points in bands 4 and 7 were measured and compared in a linear transformation against UTM coordinates. The standard error of position was 234 m for band 4 and 253 m for band 7. These values are lower than previous scenes which had all exceeded 300 m.
- 4. Some additional first generation 70 mm images were obtained.

 The measurements were compared against third generation images of the same scene. The results show only minor differences of a few meters. Therefore there is no identifiable loss of geometric quality during processing and reproduction of 70 mm images.

- A series of test sites have been selected for measurement tests of MSS and RBV images. A list is attached.
- 6. Efforts are continuing to isolate systematic errors in the MSS images but nothing can be reported at the present time.
- 7. The reseau on six RBV tubes have been calibrated in cooperation with RCA. These are candidate tubes for the ERTS B mission.
- e. Published articles and reports: None
- f. Recommended changes in operations:
 - 1. If the RBV cameras continue inoperative, a formal modification should be incorporated in the contract to allow use of the MSS images for slightly different objectives. This should be done at the end of the next reporting period, 28 February 1973.
- g. Changes in Standing Order Forms: None
- h. ERTS Image Descriptor Forms: None
- i. Changes in Data Request Forms: None
- j. DCP Status: N/A

GEOMETRIC TEST SITES

Exeter, N.H.	43° N	71°	W	
Washington, D.C.	39° N	77°	W	
Orlando, Fla.	28° 30' N	81°	30 '	W
St. Cloud, Minn.	45° 30' N	94°	30 ¹	W
Ft. Huachuca, Ariz.	31° 30'-N	110°	30 '	W
San Francisco, Calif.	37° 30' N	122°	30 !	w



United States Department of the Interior

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20242

November 27, 1972

Memorandum for the Record (EC-11-ERTS)

From:

Chief, Remote Sensors Section

Subject: MSS Scan Line Anomaly

The enclosed drawing is an outline of part of the San Francisco peninsula, the Golden Gate Bridge, and Marin County. The San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge between the city and Yerba Buena Island also appears. The outline was traced directly from an enlarged transparency (copy enclosed) of ERTS-1, frame 1021-18172, MSS-6. The scale is approximately 1:100,000. The spacecraft heading and MSS scan direction are shown with the scan lines intersecting the Golden Gate bridge axis at an angle of 73°.

The anomaly of interest is the stair-step pattern of the bridge. Each cycle is approximately 474 m in length corresponding to the coverage of six contiguous scan lines. The step over between cycles is approximately 160 m and is a function of both the bridge-scan angle (73°) and the processing anomaly.

It appears that all six scan lines from each mirror cycle are being merged about an average position during printing of system corrected (bulk) images. For this particular case, the position error of a point on the bridge could be 80 m in error from the mean position; measurement of two adjacent points or the same point on different orbits could have relative errors of 160 m.

An interpreter can mentally average a linear feature such as the bridge; however, the same anomaly will occur for all images and can not be readily discerned. Resolution, cartographic positioning, automatic data processing, and temporal change detection will be adversely affected. The anomaly has been traced to a characteristic of MSS data processing and separate from other spacecraft and scanner distortions.

Measurements were also made of the bridge width. Based on an average of 12 measurements, the image gives a width of 149 m. The Golden Gate Bridge Authority provided a dimension of 90 feet (27.4 m) rail-to-rail. Some of the difference may be attributed to the photographic enlarging process but the magnitude of potential error is apparent.

Mobert B. McEwen

Enclosures 2



